

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/470,580	12/22/1999	STEVEN B. SOLOMON	067251.0104	6336
7:	590 12/26/2002			
BAKER & BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 752012980			EXAMINER	
			TESFAMARIAM, MUSSIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	

DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/470,580

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Steven B. Solomon et al

3622

Mussie Tesfamariam

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Dec 3, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in con allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examina (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dition for
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJE See MPEP 706.07(f).	ie i
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reject in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fee. The
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set for 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyin issues for appeal; and/or	g the
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submasseparate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	itted in
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT p application in condition for allowance because: McGurl et al, 6,223,168 disclose in rebate information comprising at least two disbursement. see col 1, line 35-38, col 4, lines 39-59, col 5, lines 8-13, 34-44.	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ly raised
7. A For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-38	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-38 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-38 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-38 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600